

Financial Risk Management and Governance **Other VaR methods**

Prof. Hugues Pirotte

Solvay Brussels School Economics & Management

Idea of historical simulations…

- **Why rely on statistics and hypothetical distribution?**
	- » Use the effective past distribution for all variables
	- » Let's compare actual and normal distributions of returns

$$
\Pr[X \le x_c] = \int_{-\infty}^{x_c} f(x) dx = F_X(x_c) = \alpha = 1 - c
$$

Methodology

Method

1. Compute returns and changes for all time-series of all risk sources Leave them in the same order!

 \sum_{11} ... ΔX_1 1 *m ij* α_{n1} ... ΔX_{nm} X_{11} ... ΔX \cdots ΔX_{ij}
 X_{n1} \cdots ΔX $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_{11} & ... & \Delta X_{1m} \end{bmatrix}$ $\Delta \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_{11} & \dots & \Delta X_{1m} \\ \dots & \Delta X_{ij} & \dots \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \Delta X_{ij} & \ \Delta X_{n1} & \dots & \Delta X_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$ *n* observations *m* Risk sources

- 2. Compute the new values of the positions held in the portfolio
	- a) Apply the returns to latest underlying prices to generate new price series

$$
v_n \frac{v_i}{v_{i-1}}
$$

- b) Reprice all positions based on those new prices
- 3. Aggregate them
	- » Aggregation can be an issue...
- 4. Rank portfolio values in descending order
- 5. Choose the desired quantile leaving c% of the values above

Ins & Outs O you depend on a timited amount of data O lig eneutr cau _ either ust show up => P (noue events) $= 0, w$ undervalued be in the sample can overvalue The polon of

Accuracy

Kendall & Stuart (1972): confidence interval for the quantile of a probability distribution estimated from sample data

 $x = q$ – quantile of the distribution

where *n q q f x SE* (1) () 1 *f x x n obs* () pdf at #

Extensions

Weighting of observations

Solvay Brussels School Economics & Management

- » Standard weights: 1/*n*
- » "EWMA" idea: Boudoukh et al. (1998)
	- Weight given to change between day $n i$ and day $n i + 1$

- Same as standard weighting scheme when $\lambda \rightarrow 1$
- \checkmark We sum up weights until we reach the desired quantile
- \checkmark The best value of λ can be tested using backtesting
- The effective sample size is reduced, unless we increase substantially *n*.

Extensions (2)

- Incorporating volatility updating (Hull & White (1998))
	- » Use of:

where

it applies to period between today and tomorrow. v_n v_{i-1}
 σ_{n+1} = current estimate of the volatility since $=$

Extensions (3)

- **Bootstrap**
	- 1. We resample from the same dataset of changes to recreate many new similar datasets.
	- 2. The VaR is then calculated for each dataset.
	- 3. The confidence on the VaR is given by the range taken on the distribution of VaRs.

Monte Carlo simulations > principle

- Why rely on a single scenario? \rightarrow Simulate many
	- \rightarrow How? \rightarrow Use statistics to generate those distributional samples!
	- **»** What is the advantage? \rightarrow We can reprice everything and...

The idea of random generation

 $\Delta W_t \sim N(0, \Delta t)$

 $z_t \sim N(0,1)$

 $\Delta W_t = z \sqrt{\Delta t}$

- Random returns generation…random?
	- » The Wiener process

» The generalized Wiener process

- **»** The Ito process $\Delta X_t = \mu(X, t) \Delta t + \sigma(X, t) \Delta W_t$
- The Geometric Brownian motion
	- » Returns distribution $\Delta S_t = \mu S \Delta t + \sigma_i z \sqrt{\Delta t}$

The generalized Wiener process
$$
\Delta X_t = \mu \Delta t + \sigma \Delta W_t
$$

\nThe Ito process $\Delta X_t = \mu(X, t) \Delta t + \sigma(X, t) \Delta W_t$
\nthe Geometric Brownian motion
\n
$$
\Delta S_t = \mu S \Delta t + \sigma_i Z \sqrt{\Delta t}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta S_t = \mu S \Delta t + \sigma_i Z \sqrt{\Delta t}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta S_t = d \ln(S) \Rightarrow \ln(S_t) - \ln(S_t) = \left(\mu_i - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2}\right) \Delta t + \sigma_i Z \sqrt{\Delta t}
$$

Ito's calculus

 $\int_0^2 + (\lambda - \beta^2)$

 Z_{λ} Z_{λ}

The Choleski decomposition

 $=$

Z

 $1 - \eta_1$

 η

Generating correlated randoms

$$
\left\{ Z_2 = \bigoplus_{\eta_1} \eta_1 + \left(1 - \rho^2\right)^{1/2} \eta_2 \right\}
$$

$$
\left[\frac{Z_1}{Z_2} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 - \rho^2\right)^{1/2} \right] \left[\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} \right] \Rightarrow Z = H\eta
$$

$$
Var(Z) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{Z_1}^2 & \sigma_{Z_1, Z_2} \\ \sigma_{Z_1, Z_2} & \sigma_{Z_2}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} = V
$$

$$
V = HH' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho & \left(1 - \rho^2\right)^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ 0 & \left(1 - \rho^2\right)^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $Var(Z) = E[(H\eta)(H\eta)'] = E[H\eta\eta' H'] = H E[\eta\eta' | H' = H H' = HH'$ $Var(\eta) = 1$ et $Z = H\eta$

 $\left\lceil$

Binomial methods (tree methods)

Accuracy of simulations

- The effect of sampling variability
	- » the empirical distribution of ST is only an approximation, unless the number of simulations (*k*) is extremely large
	- » Monte Carlo implied independent draws
		- \checkmark The standard error of statistics is inversely related to
- Methods to speed up convergence

» Quasi-random sequences (= QMC)

Antithetic variable technique

» Control variate technique

 \sqrt{k}

Comparison of models

Inspired from Jorion, *Financial Risk Manager Handbook*

References

- Prof. H. Pirotte
- Some excerpts from:
	- » Hull (2007), "Risk management and Financial Institutions"
	- » The RiskMetrics technical document
	- » Jorion (2008), "Financial Risk Manager Handbook"
- Others:
	- » Jorion (2000), « Risk Management Lessons from LTCM ».